IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JOSEPH E. DERITIS, :

Plaintiff :

: CIVIL ACTION

v. : 13-6212

:

DOUGLAS C. ROGER, JR. et al.,

Defendants

ORDER

AND NOW, this 23rd day of February 2016, upon consideration the summary judgment motions and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. Defendant Kenney's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 39] is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's claim against Defendant Kenney is **DISMISSED** with prejudice;
- 2. Defendant Roger's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 38] is **GRANTED** with respect to Plaintiff's civil conspiracy and wrongful discharge claims, and Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages under Pennsylvania Whistleblower Law. These claims are **DISMISSED** with prejudice. Defendant Roger's Motion is **DENIED** with respect to Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation claim, Plaintiff's Pennsylvania Whistleblower claim, Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages under § 1983, and Defendant's affirmative defense of qualified immunity;
 - 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [Doc. 40] is **DENIED**.

The Clerk is directed to **TERMINATE** Chad F. Kenney as a party to this litigation.

It is so **ORDERED**.